October 2008 Progress Report Scope, Schedule, Cashflow and Funding

Project #: 55.2 Date: 10/15/08

				GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION	
				CENERAL PROGEST IN CHARACTER	
PROJ	ECT STATUS				
	Check the appr	ropriate box:			
	X Project work is on-g	oing using allocate	ed TCRP funds only		
	Project work is on-goir	ng using non-TCRI	P funds only		
	Project work is on-goir	ng using allocated	TCRP funds and no	n-TCRP funds	
	All TCRP allocated fur	nds have been exp	ended and the proje	ct work is on-going using non-TCRP funds	
	Project work has been	suspended			
Please	describe below reaso	on Project has be	en suspended.		
	Project work is comp	aloto			
	•		t and/ar Dhass is as	mplete, or TCRP fully expended)	
	Close out report			mblete. of TCRP fully experided)	
	Final invoice wil	I be submitted on			
	Close out report		on		
	There was a pro	oject savings ate amount of TCF	D anuinan	(indicate TCRP funds savings only, in \$1,000s)	
				gov/hq/transprog/ocip/torp/closeoutprocess/coguidance.pdf	
				0 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	
				PRO JEST GOORE	
				PROJECT SCOPE	
Please	describe below if the	project scope ha	s changed from the	e last Commission approved application/amendment.	
			•	The state of the s	
				PROJECT SCHEDULE	
Phase	Saana	Start	End		
	Scope Environmental	Start	Elia		
		Oct-01	Jul-08		
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates				
	& Estimates	Jan-07	Mar-09		
3	Right of Way	54.107	00		
	Acquisition	Jun-08	Jul-09		
4	Construction	lul 00	hul 44		
4	Procurement	Jul-09	Jul-11		
	Dana di la lafan			West and the second of the sec	
			c nange in the curre for change in the box	ntly approved project schedule?	
				ever, this redesign is anticipated to keep right of way and construction costs from increasing and will not delay the start of	
	construction.		3 ,		

PROJECT CASHFLOW

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

APPROVED TCRP ALLOCATION(S)							Estimated Remaining Cashflow Need]		
Phase	Scope	Current Approved Allocation(s) by Phase		oenditures as September 2008		nainder of 2008 09		=Υ 09-10	FY	2010- 11	FY 2011-12		FY 2012-13		FY)13-14	F 2014			·γ 5-16	FY	2016 17	eyond 1016-17		Total
1	Environmental	\$ 557	\$	1,461	\$	(904)	\$		\$		\$ -	9		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$ _	\$	557
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ 3,000	\$	-	\$	3,000			\$		\$ -	9	<u>-</u>	\$		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	\$	3,000
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ 4,650		-	\$	4,650			\$	-	\$ -	9		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$	4,650
4	Construction	\$ -	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$ -	9	-	\$		\$		\$		\$	_	\$	\$	_
4	Procurement	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$ -	9	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	\$	-
		\$ 8,207	\$	1,461	\$	6,746	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	8,207

APP	ROVED LONP(S)									Es	tima	ted LON	P E	xpenditu	re by Fis	cal Ye	ear				1		
Phase	Scope	Approved LC Amount by Phase	y	Expenditures Made by Loca Agency as o September 20	al F		FY 2009-10	FY	2010- 11	FY 011-12	2	FY 2012-13	1	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-		FY 2015-16	FY	2016 17	Beyond FY 2016-17	1	Total	
1	Environmental	\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	_	\$ -	\$	-	Estimated (month/year) When
2	Plans, Specification & Estimates	s																					
		\$.	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 	\$		\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	
4	Construction/ Procurement	\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 	\$		\$ -	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	_	\$ -	\$	-	
		\$		\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$ -	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	

FUTU	JRE ALLOCATION		Projected Schedule of Future Allocation Proportions by Fiscal Year																		
Phase	Scope		cation(s) No Approved by Phase		FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11	FY	2011 12	FY	2012- 13	ı	FY 2013-14		FY 2014-15	2	FY 015-16	2	FY 016-17	eyond 2016-17	Total
1	Environmental	•		¢		\$		\$		\$		\$		e		\$		\$		\$ 	\$
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	9		Φ										9		Ť					
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$ 	\$
4	Construction	\$	40,347	\$	40,347	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	\$ 40,347
4	Procurement	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$ -	\$ -
		\$	40.347	\$	40.347	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	_	\$		\$ 	\$ 40.347

	Projected Cashflow by Fiscal Year for Future Allocations Listed Above																	
	FY 2009-10		FY 2010-11		FY 2011 12		FY 2012- 13		FY 2013-14		FY 2014-15		FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17		Beyond FY 2016-17		Total
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 1	\$	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ _
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 2	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$ -
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 3	\$ -	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$ -
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 4	\$ 20,000	\$	20,347	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 40,34
Resulting Cashflow for Phase 4	\$	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
	\$ 20,000	\$	20,347	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$		\$		\$	_	\$	-	\$ 40,347

PROJECT FUNDING PLAN

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

Source	Туре		P	hase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$	557	\$ 3,000	\$ 4,650	\$ 40,347	\$ 48,554
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
CPUC	State	Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
UPRR	Private	Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,580	\$ 3,580
TCIF	State	Committed	\$	-	\$	\$	\$ 6,490	\$ 6,490
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -
City/DIF	Local	Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$	\$
,		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,359	\$ 10,359
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$	\$ -	\$	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$	\$	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ 	\$ 	\$ -	\$ -
	Totals	Committed	\$	557	\$ 3,000	\$ 4,650	\$ 46,837	\$ 55,044
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,939	\$ 18,939

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project funding plan?

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

The phase 3 costs have increased due to updated utility cost estimates. A new "T-Wall" system is being incorporated into the design that should allow the overall project cost to stay within the previously submitted budget.